

HCERES RENEWED AS ENQA MEMBER AND EQAR LISTED AGENCY



Time for taking stock and looking forward

STRENGTHS

moment of a large dialogue and internal debate



crucial experience for a positive development



strong work on the ESG and development of quality culture




recognition at European level




WEAKNESSES


difficulty for the panel to get the context of the country



focusing on some specific ESG standards and forget the overall



composition of the panel




length / endless procedures -> risk of "storytelling"




OPPORTUNITIES


make the national context and process more explicit



students experts in the evaluation of Bachelor / Master degree



strengthening of the follow-up procedures



development of appeals procedures



THREATS

focus only on the recommendations without consideration of the context



gap between the expectations of stakeholders, staff and the content of the report



next step : what will be the progress visit ?



the evaluated body will not be able to make proposals to ENQA and EQAR



RECOMMENDATIONS



- 1. to take more into account** the national and legal context, and the size of its higher education system
- 2. to check** the experts competencies before the visit
- 3. to adapt** better the composition of the experts panel to the context of the national higher education system

“ The future of the European Union: As it marks its 60th birthday, the European Union is in poor shape. It needs more flexibility to rejuvenate itself. ”

John Peet, journalist for "The economist"