

8th ENQA TRAINING OF AGENCY REVIEWERS
Hosted by the Hungarian Accreditation Committee (HAC)

Budapest, 13-14 May 2013

VENUE

Mercure Budapest Buda
Szent Istvan room
Krisztina körút 41-43
1013 Budapest
Hungary

PROGRAMME

Monday 13 May 2013, 16.00 – 18.30 hrs

- 16.00 **Welcome and introduction of participants**, *Nathalie Lugano, ENQA Secretariat and Christina Rozsnyai, Programme Officer for Foreign Affairs, HAC*
- 16.15 **Background to the European Standards and Guidelines**, *Tove Blytt Holmen*
- 16.45 **The purpose and complex issues of the reviews**

Chair: *Tove Blytt Holmen*

- The notion of independence
Questions/discussion, *Helka Kekäläinen*
- The notion of compliance: definitions and examples
Questions/discussion, *Padraig Walsh*
- Discussion of the review process (paper provided in advance)

18.30 End of the first day

19.30 Dinner at restaurant Márványmenyasszony

Tuesday 14 May 2013, 9.00 – 14.30 hrs

- 9.00 **Experience of reviews, an agency view**, *Christian Moldt, EVA*
- 9.20 **Experience of reviews: the Review Team's view**
Focus on the role of the review chair, *Tove Blytt Holmen*
Focus on the role of the review secretary, *Paul Mitchell*

Chair: *Padraig Walsh*

10.00 **Three parallel working groups**

Study of a self-evaluation document, in particular standards 3.6 (Independence), 3.7 (External QA criteria and processes) and 3.8 (Accountability)

Groups 1-3 (*Facilitators: Tove Blytt Holmen, Helka Kekäläinen, Padraig Walsh*)

Case study, focusing on using a self-evaluation report provided to

- decide on whom to interview during the site visit
- formulate questions to be asked to interviewees
- decide on how to make a judgement of compliance

Groups to work on their own, each facilitator to prepare report from their group

11.15 – 11.45 Coffee break

11.45 **Three parallel working groups**

Study of a final review report

Groups 1-3 (Facilitators: Tove Blytt Holmen, Helka Kekäläinen, Padraig Walsh)

Case study, focusing on using the extracts of the review report provided to

- reflect on the review panel's compliance judgements
- decide how to make a recommendation for improvement

Groups to work on their own, each facilitator to prepare report from their group

12.30 – 13.30 Lunch

13.30 **Conclusions from the working groups and comparison of the results**

Chair: *Padraig Walsh*

14.00 **Final discussion/conclusions**, *Helka Kekäläinen*

14.30 End of the day